FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE SIDE

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2234 OF 2006

1) Shri Sanjay s/o Dharamdas Gaikwad)
2) Shri Sandip Govind Gaikwad).. Applicants
V/s.
The State of Maharashtra).. Respondent

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders

---

Mr.G.V.Bhagat for the applicants.

Mr.R.Y.Mirza APP for the State.

Coram : R.S.Mohite,J

Date : 22.6.2006.

PC

1. This is an application for grant of bail mady by the 2 persons Sanjay and Sandip who are shown as accused in C.R.No.I-177/2006 registered by the Ambernath police station for alleged offences under Sections 307 r/w 34 of IPC. On perusal of the record it appears that there are 2 eye witnesses. One of whom is the injured person Mahesh. The prosecution story is that a single stab blow was

given by the applicant no.1-Sanjay. As far as applicant no.2-Sandip is concerned, there is no allegation of giving any blows. The witnesses state that he merely took part in the scuffle. APP states that the statements immediately recorded by the police also indicate the involvement of the Corporator by name Baban Tambe. I am not concerned with this aspect. If any person is required to be added as an accused, the same can be done by the trial Court under Section 319 of Cr.P.C. I am not inclined to grant bail to the applicant no.1 Sanjay who gave a blow of serious nature. The application of applicant no.1 Sanjay Gaikwad is rejected.

2. However, pending his trial in pursuance of C.R.No.I-177/2006 registered by the Ambernath police station, the applicant no.2 Sandip Govind Gaikwad may be released on bail

in the sum of Rs.15,000/- with one surety in the like amount subject to the condition that he will report to the investigating officer of Ambernath police station once a week on every Monday till the charge-sheet is filed and thereafter twice a month on 1st and 3rd Mondays till the conclusion of the trial. Application stands disposed of. It is made clear that the trial Court will not be influenced by the observations made in this order, at the stage of the trial.

(R.S.Mohite,J)